

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.978 of 2016
Date of Decision : 08.07.2016**

Ved Singh Beniwal

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rohan Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for a
prayer that the sentence of the petitioner in two independent convictions

under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act be directed to run

concurrently.

It is not disputed that this prayer was not granted when the appeals were decided or even when the revisions filed before this Court were decided and the issue before this Court is whether such a petition would be maintainable. A Full Bench of this Court in the matter of ***Jang Singh vs. State of Punjab, 2008(1) RCR (Criminal) 323***, in an identical situation, held that in such circumstances such a petition is not maintainable.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that there are two judgments of the Bombay High Court and of the Madras High Court (as those Courts were known at that time) taking a contrary view.

In my opinion, the decision of the Full Bench of this Court is binding upon me while sitting in a Single Bench. Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 08, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE