

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1598 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.02.2016

Labh Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG Punjab

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

This is the revision filed by the complainant against the judgment dated 11.2.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, vide which, sentence of respondent No.2 -Harbans Singh was reduced from two years to one year under Section 304A IPC, while upholding the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 29.11.2012, passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwandi Sabo.

Notice of motion.

After hearing both the parties, I am of the view that the appellate Court took into consideration the facts and circumstances.

Two years is the maximum sentence which can be awarded under

Section 304A IPC. Therefore, there is no illegality or perversity in the impugned order, in reducing the sentence in the present case from two years to one year under Section 304A IPC.

As such, the present revision stands dismissed.

23.02.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge