

**214-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9759 of 2016

Decided on: May 30, 2016.

Gaurav

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. R. S. Budhwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C. S. Bakshi, Additional A. G. Haryana.

Mr. N. S Shekhawat, Advocate
for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is third application for bail. All the prosecution witnesses having been examined, it will not be appropriate to consider the application for bail on merits and form an opinion regarding the culpability of the petitioner lest it should affect the case of petitioner or the prosecution at the time of the adjudication of the matter finally.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to expeditiously dispose of the trial preferably within two months from the next date of hearing fixed before it. If trial is not concluded as directed above, the petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court again for appropriate relief.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

May 30, 2016

rimpal