

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1587 of 2015

Date of decision: February 02, 2016.

Gurdeep Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bakolia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Klar, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Grewal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 2.2.2015 passed by Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Moga vide which Gurdeep Singh – respondent No.2, juvenile in conflict with law, was acquitted of the offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148 read with Section 149 IPC.

I have heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner.

The short facts as emerged from the statement of petitioner-complainant, which led to registration of the FIR, are to the effect that several persons are stated to have assaulted the complainant Gurdeep Singh. The role attributed to juvenile Gurdeep

Singh, respondentNo.2, is that he gave a stick blow on the right hand of the complainant. The statement of Dr. Rakesh Kumar, who has been examined as PW3, shows that there is no injury on the right hand. Rather, there is superficial abrasion on the right index finger of the hand. It being so, it is clear that the version of the complainant is not supported by medical evidence.

Several members of one family are stated to be involved in the commission of the crime. In these circumstances, the Juvenile Justice Board rightly held that the prosecution could not prove its case. There is no perversity or illegality in the impugned judgment.

Dismissed.

February 02, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge