

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-9742 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 02.12.2016**

Sita Ram Ola and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

**Mr. Amanpreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondent no.2.**

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners says that in compliance of order dated 24.09.2016, passed by this Court, a sum of Rs.2500/- has been deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, Gurgaon. Photocopy of the receipt has been placed on record in this regard.

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.826 dated 04.08.2014 registered under Sections 323, 354-B, 354-D, 506, 34 IPC, Police Station Gurgaon City, District Gurgaon and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. The trial Court has also sent original statements of parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

02.12.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No