

**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.1576 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 29, 2016

Pritam Singh (since deceased) through LRs Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. T.S. Sidhu and Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioner Pritam Singh was convicted for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon and sentenced vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 15.09.2010. The appeal against the said conviction was dismissed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana on 22.04.2014.

Pritam Singh had filed revision before this Court. It comes out that on account of ill health of the petitioner, he was ordered to be released on bail by this Court on 25.09.2014 on depositing compensation of ₹2,35,000/- before the concerned post office account, which is equivalent to the amount embezzled by the petitioner and on furnishing bail bonds to the trial court. The said Pritam Singh (present petitioner) is now dead. His legal heirs have come on record. Since the revision stands abated, therefore, the same is to be disposed of as abated.

The present revision, accordingly, stands disposed of as abated.

The amount of ₹2,35,000/- deposited as pre-condition for grant of bail is liable to be refunded to the legal heirs of deceased petitioner Pritam Singh on the condition that the legal heirs shall deposit the amount of fine before the trial court, if not earlier deposited.

April 29, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE