

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-973 of 2016

Date of decision: 19.01.2016

Preeti and others

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab & Another

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr.Deepak Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.141 dated 19.7.2014 under Sections 495/420/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Jullundur, District Jullundur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.12.2015 (Annexure P-1)

This Court vide order dated 13.01.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to the compromise and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to submit its report.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 13.01.2016, the parties have appeared before Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned

Magistrate has forwarded his report dated 18.01.2016 to the effect that the parties have willfully settled their differences and reached at bona fide compromise without any pressure and the same is genuine.

The statement of the complainant-respondent No.2, namely, Gaurav Nagpal son of Sh. Ram Kishan Nagpal, reads as under:-

“Stated that, in the present FIR No.141 dated 19.07.2014 u/s 420/495/120-B IPC, P.S. Sadar, Jalandhar, the matter has been compromised with the accused namely Preeti, Sunita and Kasturi Lal. On the basis of said compromise, accused have already filed petition u/s 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing. The petition under Section 13-B of HMA already filed by the parties before the Hon'ble Court of Sh. G.S. Dhillon, Additional District Judge, Jalandhar. As such, I have no objection if as per compromise, the said FIR is quashed. The compromise is without any pressure and coercion from any side in any manner. The photocopy of driving licence of Gaurav Nagpal (complainant) Mark A.

RO & AC
Sd/- Gaurav Nagpal

Sd/-
(Gursher Singh)
JMJC/Jalandhar (Duty).
Dt. 15.01.2016”

Apart from the statement of the complainant-respondent No.2- *Gaurav Nagpal*, whereby he has shown no objection to the FIR being quashed, there is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise, as arrived between the parties. Thus, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings in the instant FIR.

Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the factum of compromise entered between the parties.

Accordingly, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of**

Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H), as approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012)10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.141 dated 19.7.2014 under Sections 495/420/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Jullundur, District Jullundur, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioners, in view of the compromise dated 01.12.2015 (Annexure P-1).

19.01.2016

Anjal

**[HARI PAL VERMA]
JUDGE**