

**222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-972 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 19, 2016

Pritpal Singh and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.K. Chaudhary, Advocate for

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for respondent No.5.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Reply on behalf of the State has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Satwinder Singh, states that two complaints have been received against the present petitioner and the Superintendent of Police recommended for registration of the FIR against him. On representation of the petitioner, inquiries have been transferred to the Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana, in accordance with law.

Therefore, no further action is called for in the matter.

However, if the petitioner is required to be summoned to the police station, he shall be summoned after issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2016
sarita