

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -9703 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.09.2016

Mukesh Sardana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Himanshu Raj, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana  
assisted by ASI Mangat Ram.

Mr. Suneet Singh Deol, Advocate with  
Mr. Baban Parkash, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.455 dated 01.09.2015, registered under Sections 63, 65 of Copy Right Act, 1954 and Sections 420, 467, 468 and 469 IPC, at Police Station Mujessar, District Faridabad.

On 21.03.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Counsel would place reliance upon the order dated 22.12.2015 passed by this Court in CRM No. M-36091 of 2015 to contend that co-accused Pankaj and against whom there were identical allegations, has been granted concession of anticipatory bail.*

*Notice of motion, returnable for 11.7.2016.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation.*

*In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”*

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that in pursuance of the order dated 21.03.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

Heard.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.03.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

21.09.2016

*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :      Yes                      No

Whether Reportable:                      Yes                      No