

223
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 1517 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 10.5.2016

Kasam and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Supriya Arora, Assistant A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate, for,
Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

On the last date, none was appearing for the petitioners.

The case was adjourned for today. Even today, none is present for the petitioners. Therefore, I proceed to dispose of the present revision.

I have heard the learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for respondent No. 2, the learned State counsel and have also considered the case of the petitioners.

The present petitioners have been summoned by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, vide order dated 20.2.2014,

CRR No. 1517 of 2014 (O/M)

-2-

passed in an application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C. to face the trial as additional accused for offences under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 307 IPC and under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

The perusal of the impugned order shows that the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, has taken the view that sufficient evidence is available against the present petitioners. In the statement of witnesses recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C., they have been named. The learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, also considered the case law on the same point to come to the conclusion that the petitioners need to be summoned to face the trial. Accordingly, they were summoned under on an application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C. Exercising the revisional powers, this Court finds that the impugned order has been passed after recording sound reasoning. Thus, there is no illegality or perversity in the same. Accordingly, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.5.2016
sjks