

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-9669 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.3.2016

Bhupinder Singh @ Jyoti Tiwana

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Harpreet Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.19 dated 18.1.2015 under sections 302, 307, 452, 34 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, Sahnewal, Ludhiana.

Without going into the merits of the case, it would suffice to notice that during the course of investigation petitioner had been found to be innocent by the investigating agency and it is only thereafter on account of an application under section 319 Cr.P.C moved by the prosecution having been allowed that the petitioner has been summoned to face trial as an additional accused.

It is also the conceded position of fact that the petitioner has impugned the order passed by the Trial Court summoning him as additional accused by filing CRR No.434 of 2016 before this Court in which notice of motion stands issued for 9.5.2016 and further proceedings qua the petitioner have been stayed.

Upon notice of motion having been issued in the present petition, learned State counsel, who is upon instructions from S.I. Chamkaur

Singh, Police Station, Sahnewal, Ludhiana would apprise the Court that in view of the facts and circumstances noticed herein above, the petitioner is not required for any custodial interrogation and as such, there is no need to arrest the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

21.3.2016
lucky