

271.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-9656-2016**

Date of decision:12.08.2016

Gurmeet Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate,  
Ms. Anjali Bansal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.167, dated 09.10.2013 under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 27.01.2016 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 21.03.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted the report dated 02.04.2016 to the effect that the

parties have compromised the matter voluntarily.

Respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Suman as well as petitioners No.1 to 5 have suffered a joint statement before the learned Magistrate on 30.03.2016 with regard to the compromise to the effect that the matter has been compromised without any pressure. The said statement reads as under:-

“ Stated that compromise has been effected between us as per compromise deed Ex.C-1, which is placed on the record and compromise has been effect without any pressure.”

The learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.167, dated 09.10.2013 under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise deed dated 27.01.2016 (Annexure P-2).

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

12.08.2016  
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.  
Yes/No.