

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 9648 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision : 23.07.2016

Amandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.G.S.Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Custody certificate by way of affidavit of Surinder Pal Khanna,
Superintendent, Central Jail, Ludhiana pursuant to the last order and the
same is taken on record.

This is a petition for the grant of regular bail in case bearing
FIR No. 226 dated 03.11.2011, under Sections 302, 307, 379, 506, 148, 129
IPC and also under Sections 25 and 27 of the Indian Arms Act, registered at
Police Station Sahnewal, Ludhiana, Punjab.

On 01.04.2016 the following order was passed:-

“ Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is
attributed “lalkara” only and co-accused of the petitioner,
similarly circumstanced, has been granted the concession of
bail. Counsel for the petitioner also places reliance on

Annexure P-9.

Notice to the State of Punjab for 16.5.2016.

Status of the trial be intimated on the next date of hearing”

Learned DAG, on instructions from ASI Gurbaksh Singh, has accepted that no specific attribution is there to the petitioner and as per the custody certificate he has undergone 3 years 1 month and 27 days and the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

In the circumstances, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of bail to the present petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 23, 2016
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE