

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-9644 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 8TH JULY, 2016

Manish & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CRL. MISC. No.M-11577 OF 2016

Varun

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M9644-2016.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-11577-2016.

Ms. Tanu Shree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No.47 dated 21.02.2016 registered under Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959 and under Sections 148/149/188 IPC at Police Station PGMIS, Rohtak.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the FIR.

Seen the reasons recorded by the trial Court for rejecting the regular bail application filed by the petitioners. It is true that the petitioners were armed with deadly weapons and were moving despite proclamation under Section 144 Cr.P.C. The petitioners were arrested on 21.02.2016 and are in jail since then. No other evidence relating to their overt act along with the weapons has been alleged. There is no point in keeping them in jail pending trial, particularly when no other criminal antecedent has been pointed out.

In that view of the matter the following order is passed:

ORDER:

CRM-M No.9644 of 2016 is allowed and the petitioners shall be released on bail in FIR No.47 dated 21.02.2016 registered under Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959 and under Sections 148/149/188 IPC at Police Station PGMIS, District Rohtak, upon the terms and conditions to be decided by the Trial Court.

8TH JULY, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE