

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-4314-2016 in/&
CRR-1454-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 15, 2016.

Jagga Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. KBS Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. APS Gill, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Harbhajan Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

CRM-4314-2016

An application is pending for pre-ponement on account of compromise between the parties. CRM No.4314 of 2016 is accordingly allowed and in view of the fact that the opposite party does not deny the compromise, the main case is pre-poned for today and is taken on board for hearing.

CRR-1454-2015

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 3.4.2015 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhatinda, affirming the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 3.4.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Bathinda vide which the present

revisionist namely Jagga Singh was convicted for commission of an

offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and further directed to pay compensation to the tune of the cheque amount, i.e., Rs.4,07,000/- to the complainant Avtar Singh.

Learned counsel for the complainant namely Avtar Singh states at the bar that the complainant has received the entire amount of the cheque and that the offence has been compounded with the accused. Therefore, he has no objection if the judgments of both the courts below are set aside and the accused-petitioner is acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

In view of the fact that the compromise is affirmed by the complainant and he has got no objection, the impugned judgments are hereby set aside and the petitioner stands acquitted of the notice of accusation served upon him.

The revision is accordingly allowed.

March 15, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge