

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 9605 of 2016

Date of Decision: March 17, 2016

Ritika Nischal and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with
Ms. Ankita Doda, Advocate
for Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 14.03.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The true typed copies of Matriculation Examination Certificates of petitioner Nos. and 2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 14.03.2016 (Annexure-P-4) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 14.03.2016 (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

March 17, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE