

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10294 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.3.2016

Harbans Singh and others

.. Petitioners

v.

The State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. R. K. Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Munisha Gandhi, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab with
Ms. Harleen Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The petitioners have approached this court impugning the advertisement dated 3.5.2012 (Annexure P-7), vide which applications have been invited for filling up 24 more posts of Stamp Vendors in District Bhatinda.

The petitioners are working as Stamp Vendor licensees at Bhatinda. They have filed the present petition for restraining the respondents from issuing any further licences as Stamp Vendors. The plea raised in the reply filed by the respondents in CWP No. 20331 of 2005 is that with the passage of time on account of increase of work load pertaining

to registration of documents, more Stamp Vendors were required, hence, the number was increased. No fault can be found with the decision taken by the respondents in allowing more licences of Stamp Vendors considering the increase in the work load.

It is also relevant to mention that in CWP No. 20331 of 2005, operation of the impugned notification was stayed on 17.4.2006, however, at the time of admission of the writ petition on 3.8.2006, interim stay was vacated, meaning thereby new persons have been granted licences and they are operating.

Considering the aforesaid fact, in our opinion, there is no merit in the present petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

16.3.2016
mk