

202-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9474 of 2016

Date of decision: August 26, 2016

Kamaldeep Sandhu @ Vikrant Sandhu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a petition for quashing the FIR No.60 dated 09.08.2011, under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala, Gurdaspur due to the compromise that has been arrived at between the parties. As per the compromise (Annexure P-2), the petitioner has paid back the amount of ₹2.50 lacs to the complainant which he had taken for sending the son of the complainant abroad.

I have perused the compromise deed and also heard learned counsel for the complainant Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate. He states that indeed compromise has been taken place.

In that view of the matter, there should be no difficulty in

allowing the compounding/compromise subject to the petitioner depositing an amount of of ₹10,000/- with the office of S.S.P. Gurdaspur.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.60 dated 09.08.2011, under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Batala, Gurdaspur along with other consequential proceedings, stands quashed, subject to the petitioner depositing an amount of of ₹10,000/- with the office of S.S.P. Gurdaspur.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 26, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No