

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-1296-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.01.2016

Pawan Kumar @ Pamma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate, for
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Guruaharsahai has been received.

The learned Magistrate recorded the statement of Shri Shinder Pal, complainant, his father Piare Lal as well as the accused and reported that the parties have entered into the compromise voluntarily and without any pressure. Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 15.07.2014 passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Guruaharsahai, whereby, the present petitioner was convicted under Section 379, 506 IPC and sentenced to various imprisonments. His appeal was dismissed by Additional Sessions Judge-II, Ferozepur on 21.03.2015. Before this Court, an application under Section 390 Cr.P.C. was filed.

Since, both the parties have compromised, the necessary permission is granted to compound the offences. Since, the offences have been lawfully compounded, therefore, the present revision is allowed and the judgement and order dated 15.07.2014 passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Guruharsahai and judgment dated 21.03.2015 passed by Additional Sessions Judge-II, Ferozepur is set aside and the present petitioner stands acquitted as the offences have been lawfully compounded.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

January 14, 2016

Dinesh

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**