

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-1280-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.04.2016

Ful Chand

.....Petitioner

versus

Girish Tyagi

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Ms.Shikha, Advocate for
Mr.Vikas Sagar, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not in a position to pay the amount in terms of the orders dated 10.4.2015 and 16.11.2015 passed by this Court. Learned counsel presses the revision only on the quantum of sentence.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have carefully gone through the file.

It comes out that for a loan of Rs.95,000/- present petitioner issued a cheque for Rs.one lac, which bounced. He was awarded simple imprisonment for one year and to pay a compensation of Rs.1,25,000/- by the trial Court vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 7.9.2012 passed by learned

Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Chandigarh. The judgment was upheld by the first appellate Court on 16.3.2015. in this way, for a cheque for an amount of Rs.one lac, one year imprisonment has been awarded along with payment of compensation of Rs.1,25,000/-. The petitioner is not ready to pay the amount of cheque nor he is ready to pay the amount of compensation. As such, I do not find any ground to interfere in the sentence awarded by the trial Court.

Resultantly, the present revision stands dismissed.

21.04.2016

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**