

CRM-M-9428-2016

Date of Decision : 08.08.2016

Ram Ditta and another

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI****Present:** Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kamaldip Sidhu, Advocate  
for the complainant.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner, Ram Ditta and Zira, seek concession of regular bail in a case registered at the instance of complainant, Sandeep Singh alleging that on 12.04.2015 brother of complainant, Mandeep Singh while coming on motorcycle to his house had met with an accident and while on way to hospital, he died. Later on, on the basis of the statement of Manpreet Singh eye witness, it was alleged that Manpreet Singh saw the petitioners entering into an altercation after the motorcycle of the deceased hit their car. Ram Ditta gave a gandali blow on the chest of the deceased while Zira had raised lalkara.

After hearing counsel for the petitioners, State counsel and the counsel for the complainant, I am of the opinion that no ground is made out to grant concession of bail to petitioner Ram Ditta. However, taking into consideration the role attributed and the period of detention from 19.04.2015 of petitioner Zira, he can be released on bail.

The petition *qua* petitioner Ram Ditta is dismissed. Petitioner Zira is, however, ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the application *inter alia* on the ground that the petitioners having no permanent place of abode are likely to flee. It is ordered that the trial Court will ensure that while granting bail to Zira, his permanent address and his availability will be ensured, so that chances of his absconding after bail could be controlled.

(M.M.S. BEDI)  
JUDGE

08.08.2016  
*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No