

230
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 1244 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 16.2.2016

Sanjay Kumar

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.S. Sullar, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vijay Sangwan, Advocate for the revisionist.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy A.G. Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This revision is filed against the judgment dated 21.2.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal, affirming the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 14/15.3.2014, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kaithal, whereby the present revisionist alongwith co-accused was convicted for commission of offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 472 read with Section 120-B IPC and was sentenced as under :-

Under Sections

Sentence

420/120-B IPC

To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 3 years and to pay a fine of

CRR No. 1244 of 2015 (O/M)

-2-

	Rs. 200/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month.
467/120-B IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 3 years and to pay a fine of Rs. 200/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month.
468/120-B IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 3 years and to pay a fine of Rs. 200/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month.
471/120-B IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 2 years and to pay a fine of Rs. 200/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month.
472/120-B IPC	To undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 3 years and to pay a fine of Rs. 200/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for one month.

During the course of arguments, the learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the revisionist presses the present revision only on the quantum of sentence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the file.

After considering the facts and circumstances and keeping in view the period of sentence already undergone, I am of the view that there is some scope for reduction in the sentence. Therefore, substantive sentence of the revisionist under Sections

CRR No. 1244 of 2015 (O/M)

420, 467, 468 and 472 IPC is reduced from 3 years rigorous imprisonment to 2 years rigorous imprisonment. The remaining part of the sentence for commission of offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 472 IPC is maintained.

With the abovesaid modification, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.2.2016
sjks