

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

234

**Criminal Misc. No.M-939 of 2016
Date of decision: 16th March, 2016**

Hardev Singh and others

....Petitioners

versus

Nishan Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sachin Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 12.01.2016, the petitioners have surrendered before the trial Court and have been released on interim bail whereas learned counsel for the respondent submits that there are serious allegations against the petitioners. The allegations are matter of evidence which can be seen during trial by the trial Court.

Since the petitioners have surrendered before the trial Court and have been released on interim bail, interim order dated 12.01.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

March 16, 2016
sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**