

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9375 of 2016.
Decided on:-29.03.2016.

Amanpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite the case having adjourned two times, the parties have not contacted him and appropriate order may be passed.

In view of the aforesaid statement, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

It is, however, made clear that in case the petitioners have any threat to their life and liberty, they are at liberty to approach the authorities concerned.

March 29, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE