

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9346 of 2016
DECIDED ON: March 16, 2016

VINAY KUMAR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Ravi K. Mattoo, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 to 5 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.6 and 7.

2. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 5, only at this stage.

3. At the asking of Court, Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

4. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur and respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to look into the grievances of the petitioners unfolded by them in their representation

dated March 12, 2016 (Annexure P-4) and if found necessary, take appropriate measures in accordance with law.

5. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

March 16, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE