

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.1137 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 16, 2016

Mohinder Singh **.... Petitioner**

VS.

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Custody certificate of the petitioner has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner presses the present revision only on the point of quantum of sentence.

It is alleged that the present petitioner is aged about 73 years as in the year 2013. The allegations against him are that he prepared a false copy of jamabandi and obtained the loan of ₹2,00,000/- from the bank in connivance with one Yash Kumar, Field Officer. For which learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jalalabad(West) convicted and sentenced the petitioner as under:

S.N.	Offence (IPC)	Sentence (R.I.)	Fine (Rs.)	In default of payment of fine (R.I.)
1	420	2 years	2,500/-	1 month
2	467	3 years	2,500/-	1 month
3	471	3 years	2,500/-	1 month
4	120-B	3 years	2,500/-	1 month

All the substantive sentences were directed to run concurrently.

The aforesaid judgment of conviction and order of sentence were upheld in appeal by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Fazilka, vide order dated 28.11.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner is now touching the age of 80 and keeping in view the old age of the petitioner, some leniency in the sentence awarded to the petitioner may be shown.

Keeping in view the age of the petitioner, the sentence awarded to him for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 467, 471 and 120-B IPC is reduced from three years to two years. However, the remaining part of the sentence awarded under the said sections and other section is maintained.

With the aforesaid modification, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

March 16, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE