

**231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.1125 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 03, 2016

Prem Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Lakhbir Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

This revision petition is directed against the judgment dated 20.09.2012 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar, vide which accused-respondents Lakhbir Singh, Nirvail Singh, Jagdish Singh, Daljit Kaur, Maan Singh were convicted for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 148, 324, 323 read with Section 149 IPC. Learned Magistrate released Daljit Kaur and Maan Singh on probation under Section 4(1) of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958. However, Lakhbir Singh, Nirvail Singh and Jagdish Singh were sentenced to undergo various imprisonment ranging from six months to 1 year in addition to fine.

Aggrieved by the said judgment, the complainant as well as accused Lakhbir Singh, Nirvail Singh and Jagdish Singh filed cross appeals.

Learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Amritsar, vide judgment dated 22.01.2015 dismissed the appeal filed by the complainant against the order of releasing aforesaid two accused on probation and also dismissed the appeal filed by the aforesaid three accused. However, the sentence of the said three accused was modified and they were also ordered to be released on probation under Section 4 (1) of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958.

After going through the file and hearing learned appearing counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that it is a case of causing simple and grievous injuries. Both the courts below while considering the evidence, released the accused on probation as first offenders. Therefore, while exercising the revisional powers, this Court does not find any illegality or perversity in the same.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

May 03, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE