

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-1120-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: October 04, 2016.

Davinder Singh Randhawa

... **Petitioner**

v.

Sumit Kaur Randhawa

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri APS Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length.

Petitioner and the respondent are the husband and wife and their matrimonial dispute appears to have reached a stage with no return for conciliation. Be that as it may, in the present petition, there is a challenge to the orders made by the courts below refusing to exercise jurisdiction under Section 340 Cr.P.C. against the respondent.

It appears that both the parties are educated doctors and the matrimonial litigation continues. Be that as it may, two courts below have decided not to exercise power under Section 340 Cr.P.C. Looking to the reasons given by the two courts below where it is stated that it is not necessary to have recourse to the provisions under Section 340 Cr.P.C., I think in exercise of its power under the provisions of Section 482 Cr.P.C., this Court does not deem it appropriate to interfere in the judicial jurisdiction exercised by the two courts below.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

October 04, 2016.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No