

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: April 21, 2016
CWP No.25 of 2008

Indu Shekhar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Customs and Central Excise Ministerial
Officers' Association, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CWP No.4064 of 2008

Union of India and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Central Administrative Tribunal,
Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. M.S. Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.C. Goyal, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CWP No.25 of 2008 and CWP No.4064 of 2008 as all the writ petitions are directed against the order dated 22.07.2007 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, with a further prayer to direct the respondents-authorities to issue promotion orders of the petitioners from the post of Senior Tax Assistant to Inspector Grade.

When the matter came up for hearing on May 27, 2008, learned counsel for the parties jointly stated that the matter is issue was pending consideration before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (Civil) No.4716-4721 of 2008. The writ petitions were accordingly adjourned sine-die to await the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. On August 8, 2012, it was again pointed out that the matter was still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have today, handed over a copy of the judgment dated March 26, 2015 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos.2485-2490 of 2010 (Dhole Govind Sahebrao & others versus Union of India & others and other connected cases).

As the matter was adjourned to await the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and since according to learned counsel for the parties the controversy in the instant cases is covered by the above cited decision, the instant writ petitions are also disposed of in terms of the said decision.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

[A.B. CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE

April 21, 2016
mahavir