

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH***

***CRM No.M-9261 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision:11.04.2016***

*Manmohan Singh*

*... Petitioner*

vs.

*State of Punjab*

*... Respondent*

***2. CRM No.M-9577 of 2016 (O&M)***

*Raghuvir Singh @ Ram Singh*

*... Petitioner*

vs.

*State of Punjab & another*

*... Respondents*

***3. CRM No.M-10351 of 2016 (O&M)***

*Dinesh Kumar*

*... Petitioner*

vs.

*State of Punjab*

*... Respondent*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate,  
Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate and  
Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with  
Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate for the complainant.

Mr. Sunil Narang, Advocate for HDFC Bank.

...

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.**

This order shall dispose of CRM No.M-9261 of 2016 titled as Manmohan Singh vs. State of Punjab, CRM No.M-9577 of 2016 titled as Raghuvir Singh @ Ram Singh vs. State of Punjab & another and CRM No.M-10351 of 2016 titled as Dinesh Kumar vs. State of Punjab and as these three connected petitions have been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner(s) therein in case FIR

No.44 dated 16.02.2016, under Sections 406/420/120-B IPC (Sections

465/467/468/471 IPC have been added later on), registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

Allegations in a nutshell that led to the registration of the FIR are that money that had been deposited by the complainant Surjeet Singh with HDFC Bank in the shape of FDRs had allegedly been withdrawn/transferred and insurance policies issued in lieu thereof without due authorization.

Counsel appearing for the complainant makes a statement at the bar that during the pendency of these three petitions, the principal bank i.e. HDFC Bank as also HDFC Standard Life Insurance Company Limited have settled the grievance of the complainant and the requisite amount by way of cheque including interest has been made over to the complainant. Counsel states that there is no surviving claim of the complainant against the HDFC Bank as also HDFC Standard Life Insurance Company Limited.

In view of such circumstances, prayer made in these three connected petitions is accepted.

Order dated 31.03.2016 passed in CRM No.M-9261 and CRM No.M-9577 of 2016 and order dated 28.03.2016 passed in CRM No.M-10351 of 2016 are made absolute subject to the following conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.:-

1. That the petitioner(s) shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of  
the Court.

Disposed of.

**11.04.2016**

*harjeet*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**