

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 9229 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 15, 2016

Varsha and another Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with their counsel Mr. M.S. Kundu.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioners, amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 10.03.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copy of Secondary School Examination Certificate of petitioner No.1 and true typed copy of Voter Card of petitioner No.2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexue P-3.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 10.03.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Superintendent of Police, Sonapat (Haryana), for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Sonapat (Haryana), to consider the application of the petitioners dated 10.03.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

March 15, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE