

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CROCP No.9 of 2015

Date of Decision: 05.02.2016

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

.....Petitioner

Vs

KARAMBIR, S.I., NO.428, ROHTAK, CIA STAFF, ROHTAK

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Baniwal, Advocate
for the contemner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

M. JEYAPPAUL, J.(Oral)

Contemner along with his counsel is present.

During the last hearing, we directed the contemner to appear before the learned Sh. Sukhpreet Singh, SDJM, Meham, District Rohtak, to submit unconditional apology.

We have received a report from learned District & Sessions Judge Sh. Sushil K. Gupta, Rohtak that the contemner has infact submitted unconditional apology as directed by this Court before the above officer.

The complaint lodged by the contemner with the Registry has also been withdrawn. The Registry has also reported that the complaint was filed as per the orders passed

by the Administrative Judge of Rohtak Sessions Division.

As the contemner has unconditionally apologised for the occurrence that took place in the Court of Sh. Sukhpreet Singh, SDJM, Meham and he has also submitted such unconditional apology before the above officer as well, we are of the view that he has sincerely submitted his unconditional apology. Hence, his unconditional apology is accepted. The proceedings stand closed.

Petition is disposed of.

(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE

February 05, 2016

Atik

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE