

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-9167 of 2016

Date of decision : 15.03.2016

Sarabjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner**

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 06.10.2015 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur and order dated 15.07.2015 passed by Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur.

An application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. was filed by the complainant seeking summoning of the father-in-law, mother-in-law, sister-in-law, Chacha Sasur and Mandip Singh. The trial Court partly allowed the application and summoned Chain Singh and his wife Gurmeet Kaur as an additional accused.

Aggrieved with the order the complainant filed a revision and revisional Court partly allowed the revision petition and summoned Sandeep Kaur @ Sukhdeep Kaur as an additional accused.

Still not satisfied the complainant has approached this Court in a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking modification of the aforesaid orders.

I have learned counsel for the petitioner at length.

The submissions on behalf of the petitioner were that Kulwinder Kaur was named by the complainant and similar allegations were made against rest of the accused who were summoned, some of whom were

summoned by the trial Court and rest by the Revisional Court but both the Courts failed to summon Kulwinder Kaur - Nanad. It was urged that all the relatives of the husband used to give taunts for bringing insufficient dowry and Kulwinder Kaur used to give threats on the telephone and these facts were disclosed in the complaint and in the statement made before the Court.

On a query from the petitioner, it was stated that details of the telephone/mobile phone on which the calls were made were not given in the Court. Admittedly, the sister-in-law is a student and unmarried. A perusal of the complaint shows that general and vague allegations have been levelled. No specific instance or incident had been mentioned. It appears that allegations were levelled so as to widen the net out of frustration. No record was collected by the police. The allegations against Kulwinder Kaur were vague. I find no illegality in the order passed by the Courts below.

The petition is dismissed.

March 15, 2016

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**