

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-9132 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 18th October, 2016**

Rajesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent(s)-State.

Mr. Sushil Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.120 dated 12.02.2012, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC read with Section 24 of Emigration Act, registered in Police Station Division No.4, Ludhiana, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise between the parties.

On 04.08.2016, the following order was passed:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of F.I.R. No.120 dated 12.09.2012 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC read with Section 24 of Emigration Act , at P.S. Division No.4, Ludhiana and all other

Criminal Misc.No.M-9132 of 2016 (O&M)

consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

To come up on 18.10.2016.

Meanwhile, the parties are directed to be present before the Trial court on 20.08.2016 or on any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Court is further directed to send report along with the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal case and proclamation proceedings is pending against either of the parties before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter, the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana dated 23.08.2016 has been received. In the report, she has mentioned that the aforesaid parties have appeared before her and has attested to the fact that a compromise has indeed taken place and that the compromise has been executed voluntarily and without any pressure.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543** has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise

Criminal Misc.No.M-9132 of 2016 (O&M)

is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

18th October, 2016
mamta

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No