

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9102 of 2016.
Decided on:-15.03.2016.

Kulwinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 to 7 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life

and liberty of the petitioners, they had moved a representation dated 9.3.2016 (Annexure P-5) to the respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

At this stage, Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.4 to 7. Learned counsel states that respondents No.4 to 7 have no objection regarding the marriage of petitioners, but petitioner No.2 may be directed to deposit some amount in the name of petitioner No.1 in the shape of FDR so that she may have a sense of security in her mind. Power of attorney filed on behalf of respondent No.4 in Court today, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from petitioner No.2, states that petitioner No.2 undertakes to deposit Rs.2 lacs in the name of petitioner No.1.

In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

However, petitioner No.2-Karnail Singh is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- with some nationalized bank for a term of three years

carrying maximum rate of interest in the name of petitioner No.1-Kulwinder Kaur and shall submit photocopy of the said FDR in the Registry within a period of one month from today.

March 15, 2016

Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE