

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9100 of 2016
DECIDED ON: March 15, 2016

HARPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

2. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 3, only at this stage.

3. At the asking of Court, Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

4. Though, no representation either to the IGP or to the SSP has been moved prior to filing of the instant petition by the petitioners, however, this petition is treated as representation with the direction to

respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, Tehsil and District Bathinda, to look into the grievances of the petitioners unfolded by them in this petition and if found necessary, take appropriate measures in accordance with law.

5. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

March 15, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE