

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9099 of 2016
DECIDED ON: March 15, 2016

NARINDER SINGH

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by Narinder Singh-petitioner, seeking direction to respondents No. 1 to 3, to take action on the representation/complaint dated February 05, 2015 (Annexure P-5).

2. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only, at this stage.
3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to learned State counsel.
4. Though, matter was inquired into and during inquiry, it was found that some action deserves to be taken as is evident from the inquiry report dated May 09, 2015 (Annexure P-6) but till date no substantial action has been taken by respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent

No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated February 05, 2015 (Annexure P-5), and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

March 15, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE