

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(207)

CRM-M-9086-2016

Decided on: April 23, 2016.

Sukhraj Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Prabhakar, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

With the assistance of learned counsel for the complainant and learned State counsel, I have gone through the x-ray reports of Harjinder Kaur complainant as well as injuries on the person of Laljit Singh. The injuries which have been declared to be grievous appear to be bone cuts on the margins of bones of Harjinder Kaur and Laljit Singh.

The petitioner can be granted concession of pre-arrest bail as it will certainly be a debatable issue whether the injury attributed to the petitioner would fall within the ambit of grievous injuries, merely being a superficial bone cut as is apparent from the x-rays films. Petitioner has already joined investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed application for bail on the ground that the petitioner is quarrelsome person and is likely to cause breach of peace.

The petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court. However, a direction is issued that the petitioner will not indulge in similar activity of which he is accused of, during pendency of the trial. In case of any step taken by the petitioner to threaten the prosecution witnesses, it will be open to the complainant or the State to seek cancellation of bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

April 23, 2016
harsha