

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-9083 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 3rd AUGUST, 2016

Sarabjit Singh @ Kaka Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Harinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.161 dated 16.10.2015 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the complainant makes statement that the FIR reproduced in the petition is not complete by itself and material portions are missing therein. In support of his statement, he has filed translated copy of the FIR, which is taken on record.

Upon hearing learned counsel for the rival parties and perusing the FIR, I find that there is direct and serious evidence against petitioner No.1, therefore, he could not be granted concession of anticipatory bail. However, petitioner No.3 can be allowed anticipatory bail in terms of the role attributed to him.

In that view of the matter, instant petition qua petitioner No.1 is dismissed. The petition qua petitioner No.2 already stands dismissed as withdrawn vide interim order dated 15.03.2016.

In view of the role attributed to petitioner No.3, interim order dated 15.03.2016 is made absolute to the extent of petitioner No.3 only.

Disposed of.

3rd AUGUST, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.