

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: October 05, 2016  
CRM-M-9073 of 2016**

Jagjit Khan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CRM-M-20016 of 2016**

Jagjit Khan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

**Present:** Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)**

By this order, both the above said petitions would be  
disposed of.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This Court had stayed the P.O. proceedings on 02.09.2016  
in FIR No.664 dated 18.11.2014, under Sections 395, 365, 342 of  
Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad,  
District Fatehabad.

In petition, i.e. CRM-M-9073 of 2016, this Court vide  
order dated 15.03.2016, extended *ad interim* protection to the  
petitioner. Petitioner has accordingly, joined the investigation and

learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Radhey Shyam has fairly stated that custody is not required.

Hence, interim order dated 15.03.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner in CRM-M-9073 of 2016, is made absolute.

In so far as the challenge to the P.O. proceedings is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, makes a statement that the petitioner would appear before the trial Court promptly and would not make default in appearances. I think the petitioner should be given a chance to continue to be on bail.

Hence, the P.O. order dated 09.02.2016 (Annexure P-5) in CRM-M-20016 of 2016, is quashed.

Both the petitions stand disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 05, 2016**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No