

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

Date of Decision: August 9, 2016

1. CRM M-9024 of 2016

Sukhdev Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

2. CRM M-15106 of 2016

Harnek Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

3. CRM M-15453 of 2016

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners in all cases.
Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of three petitions bearing CRMs M-9024, M-15106 and M-15453 of 2016 for grant of regular bail. The FIR No.223 dated August 30, 2015 was registered originally at the instance of Sukhdev Singh alleging that his daughter Baljit Kaur had been abducted by Gurjant Singh and Rajvir Singh on the pretext of marriage and that they had illicit relations with Baljit Kaur.

During the course of investigation Poonam Rani daughter of Sukhdev Singh filed a complaint against her father Sukhdev Singh, aunt Kamla Devi and other family members alleging that petitioner Sukhdev Singh had illicit relations with Kamla Devi and they had in connivance with other family members had murdered Baljit Kaur. The allegation against petitioner Sukhdev Singh is that he used to maltreat Baljit Kaur and had killed her.

A perusal of the police file indicates that the petitioner Sukhdev Singh has been involved in the case on the basis of the extra-judicial confession made by petitioners Satnam Singh and Harnek Singh before one Beant Singh. Sukhdev Singh had allegedly registered a misleading FIR regarding the abduction of Baljit Kaur by Gurjant Singh and Rajvir Singh. Gurjant Singh and Rajvir Singh have already been granted the concession of bail.

So far as petitioners Harnek Singh and Satnam Singh are concerned, the only evidence in the police file is statement of Beant Singh to the effect that they had approached him informing him that a case has been registered against them and that they should be produced before the police.

It is pertinent to mention that the dead body of Baljit Kaur has not been recovered as yet. Petitioner Satnam Singh has been involved in the case on the basis of the evidence under Section 27 of the Evidence Act having got recovered the clothes which allegedly belong to deceased Baljit Kaur whose dead body has not, till date, been recovered.

Taking into consideration the evidence gathered during the course of investigation against the petitioners and they being in custody for the last about 11 months, they can be granted the concession of bail.

All the three petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

August 9, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.