

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-9002 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 14, 2016

Kawaljit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of PUnjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty and to provide adequate security to protect them from respondents No.4 to 8, who are parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1. The petitioners, who are present in Court today, further contend that they being major have solemnized marriage with their own consent in accordance with law. The private respondents are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and are extending threats to them. The copy of 'Aadhar' card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), copy of educational certificate of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2) and marriage photographs (Annexure P-3) have been placed on record.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to the petitioners to contact respondents No.2 and 3, who, after their satisfaction that the petitioners are actually facing threat, shall take necessary steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from private respondents No.4 to 8, as per law.

CRM No.M-9002 of 2016 (O&M) -2-

However, it is made clear that this order, in no way, will affect any other proceedings pending or to be filed against the petitioners etc., which are to be dealt with independently as per law.

At this stage, Mr. Jagdeep Singh Bajwa, Advocate has appeared and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.4

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the private respondents are roaming outside the Court premises and they may lay way and cause harm to the petitioners.

In view of above, Incharge Police Post situated in this Court is directed to provide security to the petitioners from this Court enabling them to contact respondents No.2 and 3, to whom direction has already been given to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

March 14, 2016

rajesh.k.khurana

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE