

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8957 of 2016

Date of decision: 21st July, 2016

Surjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 25.03.2016 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Hem Chand and the accused namely Surjit Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure money dispute, the fact that the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that

compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.39 dated 21.03.2009 registered at Police Station Radaur, District Yamunagar under Sections 420/506/120-B IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 21, 2016
rps