

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-8889 of 2016

Date of decision : 23.04.2016

Sukhpreet Kaur

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 288 dated 12.10.2015 registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula under Sections 304, 313, 364, 315 IPC and Section 23 of PNDT Act (Sections 420, 201, 120-B IPC, Section 22 of NDPS Act, Section 15(2)(3) of IMC Act and Section 3, 4, 5 MTP Act added lateron).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complainant and Tulsi have not supported the prosecution version and they have placed the copies of the statements on record.

Het Ram, Tulsi Ram and Gurmel Singh are the key witnesses who have not supported the prosecution version. The petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2015. The prosecution has been able to examine only 12 witnesses out of 29 witnesses. The trial will take time.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the trial will take time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

April 23, 2016
reena