

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8847 of 2016**

**Date of decision: 26.04.2016**

**Amarjit Singh and others**

**----Petitioner (s)**

V/s

**State of Punjab & another**

**-----Respondent(s)**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Nain, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harinder Singh, Advocate for respondent  
No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.251, dated 06.12.2012 under Sections 420/406/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar Rural and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 05.08.2015 (Annexure P-1).

This Court vide order dated 15.03.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to submit its report qua the

genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 15.03.2016, the parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has forwarded his report dated 29.03.2016 to the effect that the parties have willfully settled their differences and reached at a bona fide compromise without any pressure and the same is genuine.

The statement made by the complainant-respondent No.2, namely, *Rupinder Kaur* wife of *Ajmer Singh* before the Magistrate on 22.03.2016, reads as under:-

*“Stated that I have entered into compromise with the accused Amarjit Singh, Daljit Singh and Balwinder Kaur in case FIR no.251 dated 06.12.2012 u/s 420/406/34 of IPC at P.S. Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar with the intervention of the respectables and common friends. This compromise is without any pressure, threat or coercion and the same is out of my free will. There are three accused in the case and no accused has been declared proclaimed offender by the court. I have no objection if FIR and all consequent proceedings may be quashed against accused.”*

Apart from the statement of the complainant-respondent No.2-*Rupinder Kaur*, whereby she has shown no objection to the FIR being quashed, there is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise, as arrived between the parties. Thus, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings in the instant FIR.

Mr.Harinder Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent No.2-complainant and filed Power of

Attorney in Court today, which is taken on record. He admits the factum of compromise entered into between the parties.

Learned counsel for the State has also not disputed the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H)**, as approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012)10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.251, dated 06.12.2012 under Sections 420/406/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar Rural, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioners, in view of the compromise dated 05.08.2015 (Annexure P-1).

**26.04.2016**

**Anjal**

**[HARI PAL VERMA]  
JUDGE**