

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8826-2016 (O&M).
Decided on: April 29, 2016.

Rajinder Kaur .. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab .. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

Present Mr.Gurmeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.H.K.Athwal, DAG. Punjab.

Mr.K.S.Dadwal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the interim order dated 14.3.2016, the petitioner has already put in appearance before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Dasuya, as a result of which the implication of she having earlier been declared a proclaimed offender cease to exist.

Counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose the application for pre-arrest bail mainly on the ground that the petitioner was aware of the proceedings against her and had been evading the process of law and had reportedly been declared a proclaimed offender as such, she should not be granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

I have considered the role attributed to the petitioner of having joined her co-accused in the year 2013 while trespassing the house of the complainant and inflicting injuries. No

specific role is attributed to the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner has now joined the stream of process of law, there is no reason to curtail her liberty by declining the concession of pre-arrest bail as it does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation or keeping the petitioner in custody during the entire period of trial.

The petition is allowed. Interim order dated 14.3.2016, is hereby made absolute. The petitioner will remain on bail against the bail bonds already furnished by her before the trial Court on 21.4.2016, without prejudice to the rights of the prosecution agency to submit supplementary challan against her. It is ordered that the petitioner will not absent herself without any sufficient cause during the entire period of trial.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

April 29, 2016.
rka