

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -8774 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.09.2016

Bahadur Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Kewal Singh.

Mr. Aseem Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.08, dated 06.02.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

It is contended that the petitioners were allowed interim bail on the strength of compromise (Annexure P-2) and in pursuance of the compromise, they had already transferred 20 kanals each land in favour of the complainant. However, after the land had been transferred, the complainant backed out from the compromise

leading the petitioners to approach the Court by way of filing civil suit to protect their interest.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the complainant states that in fact the petitioners have backed out from the compromise, however, he states that the complainant is still ready to stand by the terms & conditions of the compromise in case the petitioners withdraw the civil suit filed against the complainant challenging the settlement/compromise.

The learned State counsel also concurs to the extent that the compromise earlier had been reached, however, as per instructions, the petitioners have backed out from the compromise.

At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioners states at bar to withdraw the civil suit within one week from today.

Heard.

The petitioners have repeatedly joined the investigation. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.03.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

05.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No