

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-9889 of 2015

Date of Decision: 09.12.2016

Balvir Kaur

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. M.S. Sachdev, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for respondents no.4 to 6.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for issuance of directions to the respondents no.1 to 3 to take FIR No.2 dated 25.1.2015 under Sections 436/34 IPC registered at P.S. Lambran, District Jalandhar to its logical end, as no action has been taken thereon till date.

Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Bhagirath Singh Meena (IPS), Assistant Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Kartarpur, Jalandhar, on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3, which is taken on record.

On the strength of aforesaid status report, learned State counsel submits that after registration of the FIR, one application dated 31.1.2015 addressed to SSP Jalandhar Rural was filed by respondent no.5 to reinvestigate the present FIR and to remove the names of respondents no.4 to 6 from the said FIR. One another application dated 16.5.2015 was also filed by the petitioner to DGP, Punjab to the effect that no action has been taken in the FIR.

Learned State counsel submits that D.S.P., Investigation, Jalandhar (Rural) had investigated both these complaints and submitted his report, which were submitted for the approval of SSP, Jalandhar (Rural) on 28.7.2015. In the enquiry, it was found that respondents no.4 to 6 are innocent. After the aforesaid enquiry, untraced report was prepared by the SHO and the same was presented in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, which was duly approved by the Court.

In view of the above, so far the instant FIR is concerned, it is found that investigation has meticulously been conducted and therefore, no further direction is called for in the present case.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to take legal recourse, as available under the law, if required.

December 09, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No