

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

202

CWP No. 13270 of 2010(O&M)
Date of decision: 07.09.2016

Suraj Mal

.... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Sunny Namdev, Advocate for
Mr. Randhir S.Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

Proxy counsel for the petitioner seeks time. Request is refused for the reasons that the matter is of the year 2010 and the prayer is for regulation of suspension period.

2. Matter is heard. The grievance of the petitioner is to regulate the suspension period from 28.06.1991 to 07.04.1992 and the monetary benefits be made along with 18% interest from the due date, till its actual payment. The petitioner was stated to be appointed as an Assistant Cashier in the year 1979. He was placed under suspension from 28.06.1991 to 07.04.1992. The respondents initiated disciplinary proceedings. During pendency of the enquiry he was subjected to another enquiry. The same was concluded in imposing penalty of termination from service. Consequently, holding of enquiry in relation to the 1st enquiry rendered infructuous.

Therefore, the respondents have not regulated the suspension period from

CWP 13270-2010

28.06.1991 to 07.04.1992. Due to the petitioner's termination from service, earlier enquiry was discontinued. Consequently, he is entitled for regulating the suspension period.

3. The respondents are directed to regulate the suspension period from 28.06.1991 to 07.04.1992 in view of the abandonment of enquiry in relation to the allegations stated in the order of suspension dated 28.06.1991. The respondents are directed to regulate the suspension period in accordance with law within a period of 3 months from today.

07.09.2016
pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasons	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No