

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(208-A)

**CRM-M-8639-2016 (O&M)
Decided on: May 11, 2016.**

Sukhdev Singh Bhatia

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

CRM-10511-2016

Miscellaneous application is allowed.

The main petition will be considered qua added offences as well.

CRM-M-8639-2016

On the instructions of SI Madan Lal, it has been informed that the petitioner has joined investigation but recoveries are to be effected from the petitioner.

I have gone through the facts and circumstances of the case.

The grievance of the complainant finance company is that the petitioner being an employee of the company of the complainant had connived with other accused and had got financed few vehicles but the loanes did not make payment of the installments to the complainant finance

company. Subsequently, it was found that the trucks were not registered in the name of the persons who had been given loans. The liability of the petitioner qua the complainant appears to be a dispute of employer and employee. Loss, if any, caused, recovery thereof can be effected. The complainant finance company can also recover the vehicles regarding which the loans had been given.

The petition is allowed. Interim order dated 11.03.2016 is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions that the petitioner will join investigation as and when required and will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 11, 2016
harsha